

## IEEE Fellow Committee Report

ITW Porto – May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2008

### Request from IT Society Officers

At the IT officers' meeting last Sunday, there was a brief discussion of the Fellows Committee. We agreed that we would like to ask for you to prepare a report for the next BoG meeting in Porto about the Fellows Committee, how well it is operating, statistics, etc. In particular:

- (a) Are we getting enough Fellow nominations? If not, recommendations for improvement.
- (b) Some concern has been voiced that it seems to be harder to become a Fellow via the IT Society than via some other societies. Is there any hard evidence for or against this proposition? If so, is there anything we can do about it?

### Reply from Fellow Committee Chair (Dan Costello)

Below is a spreadsheet that gives 10-year statistics regarding IEEE Fellow success rates. The IT society is compared to five other societies (four societies closely related by technical area plus power engineering) and to IEEE overall. Based on these statistics, we can draw a few general conclusions:

- Nominations, as a percentage of membership, are generally higher for the IT society than for the other societies, significantly so in the case of the mega-societies, COM and COMP. Relative to IEEE overall, we are about the same.
- Our success rate exceeds that of other societies and of IEEE overall. The CAS society tends to track us most closely in this regard.
- These trends have been fairly constant over a ten year period.
- Our membership decline has been larger than the other societies and IEEE overall.
- Our nominations have dropped in line with our membership decline. Significantly, this is not true for the other societies.
- In some, but not all, of the other cases, the increase in nominations has been accompanied by a decline in success rate, yielding only a marginal improvement in the number of candidates elected. This suggests that some of these "extra" nominations may have been of a lower quality.

Based on these observations, I would propose the following responses to your questions.

- (a) Are we getting enough Fellow nominations? If not, recommendations for improvement.

Probably not. It appears other societies have been making an effort to increase nominations even in the face of falling membership. Perhaps we should do the same, within the constraint of maintaining high standards. This could be done through carefully worded Newsletter or Website announcements, for example. I think it is important, however, that our Fellow Evaluation Committee NOT be involved in this activity, for conflict-of-interest reasons.

(b) Some concern has been voiced that it seems to be harder to become a Fellow via the IT Society than via some other societies. Is there any hard evidence for or against this proposition? If so, is there anything we can do about it?

Based on the information provided, this doesn't seem to be the case. In response to the following specific question that I addressed to IEEE:

- "Also, is there any (exact or approximate) overall ratio of Fellows to total members that gets applied on a society basis? In other words, is there any constraint on the number of Fellows elected to a particular society based on the membership of that society?"

I received the following reply from IEEE:

- "As to your question "is there any (exact or approximate) overall ratio of Fellows to total members that gets applied on a society basis?", there is no such constraint."

Thus, it seems like the relatively small number of IT Society members elected to Fellow in recent years can be attributed more to a declining number of nominations rather than (directly) to falling membership.

Finally, I note that many of our members also have the choice of being nominated by one of our sister societies. We could be "losing" good nominees to other societies with a more proactive approach. I don't know whether this should concern us or not.

<b>IEEE Overall</b>				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Nominations</b>	<b>Elevated</b>	<b>Success Rate</b>	<b>Members</b>
<b>1998/1999</b>	564	239	42.4%	239,760
<b>1999/2000</b>	531	248	46.7%	248,865
<b>2000/2001</b>	525	256	48.8%	253,370
<b>2001/2002</b>	561	259	46.2%	254,220
<b>2002/2003</b>	573	260	45.4%	257,752
<b>2003/2004</b>	675	260	38.5%	239,562
<b>2004/2005</b>	778	268	34.4%	231,313
<b>2005/2006</b>	785	271	34.5%	229,866
<b>2006/2007</b>	765	268	35.0%	224,186
<b>2007/2008</b>	773	295	38.2%	
<b>Totals</b>	<b>6530</b>	<b>2624</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	

<b>Information Theory Society</b>				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Nominations</b>	<b>Elevated</b>	<b>Success Rate</b>	<b>Members</b>
<b>1998/1999</b>	14	6	42.9%	5,952
<b>1999/2000</b>	16	8	50.0%	5,958
<b>2000/2001</b>	11	4	36.4%	5,972
<b>2001/2002</b>	17	8	47.1%	5,644
<b>2002/2003</b>	13	7	53.8%	4,985
<b>2003/2004</b>	14	8	57.1%	4,312
<b>2004/2005</b>	8	3	37.5%	3,918
<b>2005/2006</b>	12	6	50.0%	3,608
<b>2006/2007</b>	12	5	41.7%	3,480
<b>2007/2008</b>	9	4	44.4%	
Totals	<b>126</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	

<b>Circuits and Systems Society</b>				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Nominations</b>	<b>Elevated</b>	<b>Success Rate</b>	<b>Members</b>
<b>1998/1999</b>	19	9	47.4%	15,908
<b>1999/2000</b>	32	17	53.1%	15,720
<b>2000/2001</b>	30	18	60.0%	16,264
<b>2001/2002</b>	24	14	58.3%	21,401
<b>2002/2003</b>	28	11	39.3%	20,540
<b>2003/2004</b>	29	13	44.8%	14,150
<b>2004/2005</b>	51	24	47.1%	12,119
<b>2005/2006</b>	31	16	51.6%	11,452
<b>2006/2007</b>	39	12	30.8%	11,040
<b>2007/2008</b>	41	13	31.7%	
Totals	<b>324</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>45.4%</b>	

<b>Communications Society</b>				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Nominations</b>	<b>Elevated</b>	<b>Success Rate</b>	<b>Members</b>
<b>1998/1999</b>	49	16	32.7%	57,901
<b>1999/2000</b>	42	19	45.2%	53,886
<b>2000/2001</b>	37	11	29.7%	47,945
<b>2001/2002</b>	47	14	29.8%	49,379
<b>2002/2003</b>	54	17	31.5%	54,563
<b>2003/2004</b>	52	14	26.9%	58,001
<b>2004/2005</b>	71	19	26.8%	46,952
<b>2005/2006</b>	57	20	35.1%	41,968
<b>2006/2007</b>	60	20	33.3%	41,016
<b>2007/2008</b>	71	23	32.4%	
Totals	<b>540</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>32.0%</b>	

<b>Computer Society</b>				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Nominations</b>	<b>Elevated</b>	<b>Success Rate</b>	<b>Members</b>
<b>1998/1999</b>	61	26	42.6%	97,242
<b>1999/2000</b>	54	28	51.9%	105,153
<b>2000/2001</b>	56	30	53.6%	99,711
<b>2001/2002</b>	60	32	53.3%	99,343
<b>2002/2003</b>	76	35	46.1%	103,507
<b>2003/2004</b>	82	30	36.6%	102,098
<b>2004/2005</b>	87	22	25.3%	92,846
<b>2005/2006</b>	86	34	39.5%	94,698
<b>2006/2007</b>	103	25	24.3%	86,057
<b>2007/2008</b>	123	32	26.0%	
Totals	<b>788</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	

<b>Power Engineering Society</b>				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Nominations</b>	<b>Elevated</b>	<b>Success Rate</b>	<b>Members</b>
<b>1998/1999</b>	52	17	32.7%	23,567
<b>1999/2000</b>	44	19	43.2%	23,633
<b>2000/2001</b>	36	12	33.3%	21,792
<b>2001/2002</b>	52	19	36.5%	23,375
<b>2002/2003</b>	50	16	32.0%	23,674
<b>2003/2004</b>	59	17	28.8%	23,033
<b>2004/2005</b>	80	17	21.3%	21,364
<b>2005/2006</b>	38	13	34.2%	21,341
<b>2006/2007</b>	62	20	32.3%	22,445
<b>2007/2008</b>	58	22	37.9%	
Totals	<b>531</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>32.4%</b>	

<b>Signal Processing Society</b>				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Nominations</b>	<b>Elevated</b>	<b>Success Rate</b>	<b>Members</b>
<b>1998/1999</b>	39	19	48.7%	18,592
<b>1999/2000</b>	28	10	35.7%	17,563
<b>2000/2001</b>	26	13	50.0%	16,206
<b>2001/2002</b>	32	15	46.9%	19,916
<b>2002/2003</b>	40	16	40.0%	19,835
<b>2003/2004</b>	51	18	35.3%	19,329
<b>2004/2005</b>	63	21	33.3%	15,715
<b>2005/2006</b>	39	13	33.3%	15,404
<b>2006/2007</b>	57	17	29.8%	15,155
<b>2007/2008</b>	54	16	29.6%	
Totals	<b>429</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	